



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,243	01/21/2000	CHARLES R. HASKINS	064385-5030	3704
9629 7590 02/24/2010 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				
EXAMINER SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3695				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/24/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>		<p><b>Application No.</b></p> <p>09/381,243</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b></p> <p>HASKINS ET AL.</p>
		<p><b>Examiner</b></p> <p>Narayanswamy Subramanian</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b></p> <p>3695</p>

**All Participants:**

(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.

(2) (Kevin) Xin He (Reg. No. 51,794).

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2010

**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian indicated possible 101 rejections for claims 9 and 19 in the RCE and suggested claim language to overcome the rejections. The Examiner also indicated that claim 9 may be allowable if re-written to include all the amended limitations of claim 19. Attorney He agreed to consider the suggestions and file a supplemental amendment after consulting his client.